

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgonia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/232,049	01/15/1999	MASAYUKI SATO	FUJA-15.799	2308	
	o5/05/2003 n Zavis Roseman	EXAMINER			
575 Madison A New York, NY		,	VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003

2142

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action		09/232,049	SATO ET AL.	SATO ET AL.			
,	dvidely Addidii	Examiner	Art Unit				
		William C. Vaughn, Jr.	2142				
The MAII	LING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 21 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The propose	ed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
	idavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the			
	t or exhibit will NOT be considered bed e Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7.⊠ For purposes explanation	s of Appeal, the proposed amendment of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an			
The status o	f the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) all	owed:						
Claim(s) ob	jected to:						
Claim(s) rej	ected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) wit	hdrawn from consideration:						
8. The propose	ed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Part of Paper No. 12 WW





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The issue of whether or not a managed object instance of CMIP operations... requested CMIP operations changes the scope of the claim limitations as originally presented and will require further search and consideration.

MARK R. POWELL.
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 2400

 ω_{c}